

This file has been cleaned of potential threats. To view the reconstructed contents, please SCROLL DOWN to next page.

If you confirm that the file is coming from a trusted source, you can send the following SHA-256 hash value to your admin for the original file.

c4d7931f9dde74e64c015a119f42a3760818b4fd81028a48b80bbd2dea3c0413

Exeter Plan 2021-2041

Publication Stage (Regulation 19)

Representation Form

Ref:**(For official
use only)**

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates: Exeter Plan 2021-2041

Please return to Exeter City Council, Local Plans Team, Paris Street, Exeter, EX1 1JN, upload onto our consultation portal at <https://exeterplanpublication.commonplace.is/> or email to planning.policy@exeter.gov.uk by **11:59 pm on 6 February 2025**.

This form has two parts:

Part A – Personal Details: Needs to be completed only once.

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A: Personal details

1. Personal details*

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if application) boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in section 2.

Title	Councillor
First Name	Diana
Last Name	Moore
Job Title (where relevant)	Leader of Green Group. Submission on behalf of Cllrs Moore, Banyard, Read, Rees, Bennet, Wetenhall, Ketchin.
Organisation (where relevant)	Exeter City Council
Address Line 1	% Civic Centre
Line 2	Paris St
Line 3	Exeter

Line 4

Post Code

EX1 1JN

Telephone number

E-mail address

2. Agent's details if applicable

Title

First Name

Last Name

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code

Telephone number

E-mail address

Part B – Representation

Please use a separate sheet for each representation

3. To which part of the Exeter Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

Policies Map

4. Do you consider that this part of the Exeter Plan is legally compliant?

Yes

No

4 (a). If yes, and you wish to support the legal compliance of this part of the Exeter Plan, please use this box to set out your comments.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

4 (b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Exeter Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

4 (c). Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the Exeter Plan legally compliant, in respect of any legal compliance matters you have identified at 4(b) above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the Exeter Plan legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

5. Do you consider that this part of the Exeter Plan is Sound?

Yes

No

5 (a). If yes, and you wish to support the soundness of this part of the Exeter Plan, please use this box to set out your comments.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

5 (b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Exeter Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Policy not effective in meeting the objectively assessed need for the housing requirement. Housing requirement (Strategic policy) does not accord with NPPF (23) para. 20 which sets out the expectation of affordable homes provision to be a strategic policy, and the policy it does not demonstrate how it meets the overarching policy objective. This is matter of strategic policy not viability.

While there is a separate Affordable Homes policy (H4), policy H1 as an overarching strategic policy for the housing requirement should set out the need for market and affordable homes, informed and justified by Local Housing Needs Assessment (LHNA): (from fig 48. p67)

"These 12,840 overall dwellings can be separated into market and affordable housing: 4,155 affordable housing; 8,685 market housing; 12,840 total housing need."

It is noted that an additional buffer resulting in a total number of 13,975 to enable 'choice and headroom' has been added to this number in the Regulation 19 Plan, however the principle to inform the policy remains.

The split between affordable and market homes may be set out as a number or a percentage (this would be 33% affordable housing and 67% market homes) in order to set out the policy targets and demonstrate how it will achieve the overall policy objective (emphasis mine) of providing **"the quantity, type, quality and affordability of homes that Exeter needs"** in the right locations."

These targets/percentage will be important to be able to effectively justify any application for grant for affordable housing provision as set out in Policy H4 6.16 ..."grants and other subsidies will be used to increase the number of affordable homes delivered", setting out the targets as will also help (H4, notwithstanding) achieve the delivery of adequate numbers of affordable housing regardless of the split between brownfield and greenfield sites.

5 (c). Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the Exeter Plan sound, in respect of any soundness matters you have identified at 5(b) above.

You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the Exeter Plan sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

To add new point to H1, justified by the evidence:

"This requirement will be split between affordable and market homes to meet local needs at a minimum of [33% or approximate number] affordable housing and approximately [67% or approximate number] market homes."

6. Do you consider that this part of the Exeter Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

Yes

No

6 (a). If yes, and you wish to support this part of the Exeter Plan's compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

6 (b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Exeter Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.

7. If you would like to make representations on the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) please provide your comments here, stating to which part of the SA your comments relate.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

8. If you would like to make representations on the Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) please provide your comments here, stating to which part of the HRA your comments relate.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

9. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

☐

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

☒

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

10. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

As councillors (some of whom have sat on the planning committee) we have experience of trying to work with planning policy in practice and how planning decisions are taken within the Council.

As ward Councillors we have experience of how planning policy affects the community and local environment and the extent to which it may or may not be effective in achieving the stated policy outcomes. We are also advocates for our communities and have identified where planning policies are not effective in terms of meeting positive planning outcomes for our communities and local environment.

The issues we have commented on in these representations are ones which concern us most (having made previous representations). However, it should be noted that, there has been no opportunity for formal Scrutiny (as set out in the Local Government Act) of the local plan policies, despite our numerous formal requests. While the strategic direction was set by the administration and approved by Council it should be noted that the emerging plan policies have not subject to any formal political oversight, for example in a council strategic planning committee. Our group has objected to the process. We are therefore having to make a number of representations to the Inspector on the matters that will have the most impact on our communities and environment.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

For more information on how we use your data – please read our privacy notice at the following website: <https://exeter.gov.uk/media/5750/planning-policy-privacy-notice.pdf>