

Full Name

Rowan Hooper

Organisation (where relevant)

-

Chapter of the Exeter Plan

Chapter 16 - Site allocations

1. To which part of this chapter does this representation relate? (Representations must be made on a specific policy or paragraph within the section and this should be specified in the below).

Strategic mixed use brownfield allocation: SBA5 - South Gate (Strategic policy)

2. Do you consider that this part of the chapter is legally compliant?

Yes

2.a. If yes, and you wish to support the legal compliance of this part of the chapter, please use this box to set out your comments.

-

2.b. If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the chapter is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.

-

2.c. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the chapter legally compliant, in respect of any legal compliance matters you have identified at 2.b above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the chapter

legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.

-

3. Do you consider that this part of the chapter is sound?

Yes

3.a. If yes, and you wish to support the soundness of this part of the chapter, please use this box to set out your comments.

There needs to be far more low car mixed use development. I want to emphasize the importance of including shops to the ground level of the building as it promotes active travel and local businesses. also the Pedestrian path from frias' gate is at a much lower elevation than the road already and could do with an underpass instead of crossings to improve safety, air quality for pedestrians and better traffic flow. I would like to see the winter night shelter stay and if it has to move to be given an improvement. I don't believe that the parking needs to be replaced as we have enough parking in the center, causing too much traffic in what would be quiet, healthy streets.

3.b. If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the chapter is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

-

3.c. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the chapter sound, in respect of any soundness matters you have identified at 3.b above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the chapter sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.

-

4. Do you consider that this part of the chapter complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

Yes

4.a. If yes, and you wish to support this part of the chapter's compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

-

4.b. If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the chapter fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

-

5. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

6. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

-