

Full Name

Matthew Dickins

Organisation (where relevant)

East Devon District Council

Chapter of the Exeter Plan

Chapter 16 - Site allocations

1. To which part of this chapter does this representation relate? (Representations must be made on a specific policy or paragraph within the section and this should be specified in the below).

TI1: Topsham Infrastructure Delivery Framework: (Strategic policy)

2. Do you consider that this part of the chapter is legally compliant?

-

2.a. If yes, and you wish to support the legal compliance of this part of the chapter, please use this box to set out your comments.

-

2.b. If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the chapter is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.

-

2.c. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the chapter legally compliant, in respect of any legal compliance matters you have identified at 2.b above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the chapter

legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.

-

3. Do you consider that this part of the chapter is sound?

No

3.a. If yes, and you wish to support the soundness of this part of the chapter, please use this box to set out your comments.

-

3.b. If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the chapter is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

East Devon District Council consider (in respect of Policy TI1: Topsham Infrastructure Delivery Framework) - The co-ordinated approach to the delivery of infrastructure on sites north of Topsham within the city and within East Devon District under Policy TI1 is welcomed, however the wording of this policy does not prevent the development of the allocated sites within the city from coming forward ahead of production of the envisaged Infrastructure Delivery Framework or require them to only come forward in accordance with an agreed framework.

3.c. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the chapter sound, in respect of any soundness matters you have identified at 3.b above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the chapter sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.

To address this concern the City Council should add the following wording (or similar) to Policy TI1: 'Development of allocation sites ref: 90, 91, 94, 153, shall only be permitted where in accordance with the formally agreed Infrastructure Delivery Framework'. If the City Council is in agreement then wording could be agreed through a statement of common ground and this change recommended to the examination

4. Do you consider that this part of the chapter complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

-

4.a. If yes, and you wish to support this part of the chapter's compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.

-

4.b. If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the chapter fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

-

5. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

6. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To be able to address any matters of relevance that may come up in hearing sessions.